Evaluating Recall Error in Survey Reports of Move Dates through a Comparison with Records in a Commercial Database

Mary H. Mulry, Elizabeth M. Nichols, and Jennifer Hunter Childs, U.S. Census Bureau Parvati Krishnamurty, NORC

2013 International Total Survey Error Workshop June 3, 2013



Motivation for study

- Surveys ask respondents to recall events
- U.S. censuses ask respondents to recall where they lived on Census Day, April 1
- Some census evaluation interviews may occur 11 months after April 1
- Assumption: respondents who move around April 1 are able to give correct answers
- Recall errors may cause respondents to be enumerated at the wrong location



Literature on Event Recall

- Much research on respondent recall and several models of survey response process Cannell et al. (1981), Sudman et al. (1996)
- Telescoping may be backward or forward Rubin & Baddeley (1989), Huttenlocher et al. (1990)
- Wording of questions may aid recall Prohaska et al. (1998), Tourangeau et al. (2000)
- Use of move date as anchor may aid recall Wagenaar (1986), Belli et al. (2008)
- Could not find research on error in recalling move dates



Survey that asks move months: National Longitudinal Survey of Youth

- NORC conducts NLSY for BLS – 85% of interviews in person
- Annual interviews, Oct to May
- Focus on NLSY97 cohort
 - ages 12 to 17 when first interviewed in 1997
- Retention rate high
 - If miss one round, use Accurint database to find respondent for next round



Commercial database

- Accurint
 - Compiles records from over 10,000 sources, some public & some proprietary
 - Ex: utility bills, credit cards, magazine subscriptions, bank accounts, court records
- Maintains records for over 400 million unique identities
- Meets federal confidentiality requirements under CIPSEA



Research strategy

- Use reported moves for NLSY97 cohort
 Ages 23 to 29 for 3 interview rounds 2006 2008
- Match NLSY97 movers to Accurint move records for their address
 - Matching conducted in 2011
- Investigate error in reported move month by using matched results to develop regression model



Define move month variables relative to interview month

NLSY-lag = (interview month - NLSY reported month)

ACC-lag = (interview month - Accurint record month)

True-lag = (interview month - True month) (not observed)

Example for interview in Nov

- Accurint record: Dec ---> ACC-lag = -1
- True month: Oct \longrightarrow true-lag = 1



Regression model

ACC-lag = α + β *NLSY-lag + ε

where α is intercept; $\pmb{\beta}$ is coefficient, and ϵ is random error

Assumption

True move month and average Accurint start month differ by a constant C

In our notation:

ave(ACC-lag) = True-lag + C



Interpretation of regression parameters

• α = ave(ACC-lag - β *NLSY-lag) Estimate ave(ACC-lag) by setting NLSY-lag = 0

- $\beta = ave(\Delta ACC-lag)/\Delta NLSY-lag$
 - = Δ true-lag/ Δ NLSY-lag
 - β = 1 implies no evidence of recall error
 - β < 1 implies backward telescoping in NLSY
 - β > 1 implies forward telescoping in NLSY



Matching limitations using NLSY data on moves

- Move to address at time of interview
 Complete street address, city, state, zip code
- Moves between cities since last interview
 Only city, state, zip code; no street address
- Moves within cities since last interview
 Only number of moves; no address information
- Move month may be missing in NLSY or start month may be missing in Accurint



Data sets

- Geographic match (2600 moves)
 - Match on city-state or zip code
 - Have NLSY move date & Accurint start date
 - Includes inter-jurisdictional moves
- Address match (1159 moves)
 - Match on complete address
 - Have NLSY move date & Accurint start date
 - Only moves to interview address



ACC-lag vs. NLSY-lag Address match (zoom in)



12

ACC-lag vs. NLSY-lag Address match & difference < 6 months



Restrictions on datasets

NLSY-lag < 20 months

- Only those interviewed in consecutive rounds

- | NLSY-lag ACC-lag $| \le 6$ months
 - Attempt to assure both sources refer to same event



Address match data model

n=410 moves; stronger matching criteria

α	estimate -0.171	p-value 0.460
β	0.919	<.0001

- $\alpha < 0$, but not significant
 - no evidence of nonzero average lag in Accurint
- β < 1 indicates backward telescoping
 - Is β different from 1?
 - t test indicates Yes, with p-value = 0.009



Geographic match data model

n=1,094 moves; weaker match criteria

α	estimate -0.704	p-value <.0001
β	0.954	<.0001

• $\alpha < 0$, & significant

- indicates constant average delay in Accurint

- β < 1 indicates backward telescoping
 - Is β different from 1?
 - t test indicates Yes, with p-value = 0.007



Summary

- Both models indicate backward telescoping, but differ on when it starts affecting responses for ages 23 to 29
 - Address match data: 6 months after move
 - Geographic match data: 10 months after move
- Models differ on existence of nonzero average lag in Accurint records
 - Address match data: none
 - Geographic match data: 0.7 months after move



Future research

- More research needed to identify point when error in recall of move month becomes problematic
- Complete address and strong matching criteria necessary for definitive results
- Database search requires special instructions to identify the last start date when a person lives at an address, moves out, and then moves back in
- Suggest collecting source of database records to determine the best sources



Contact

mary.h.mulry@census.gov

